EXHIBIT I

SMITHERS

VS.

FRONTIER AIRLINES INC

Deposition

SHAWN P. CHRISTENSEN

04/17/2019

AB Court Reporting & Video

216 16th Street, Suite 600 Denver Colorado, 80202 303-296-0017

- MR. BANINO: Wait.
- Q (By Mr. Stone) -- looked at those eight
- 3 topics?
- 4 MR. BANINO: Thatcher, before we continue
- on, I just want to be clear that we are going to
- 6 follow what's been set out in our objections. If
- you want to fight about those objections, we can do
- 8 that with the magistrate judge, but we are going to
- ⁹ follow the objections.
- MR. STONE: What does that mean?
- MR. BANINO: Well, as I said, there is a
- pretty wide area of inquiry that's been laid out,
- but some of these topic areas are objectionable and
- we have objected.
- MR. STONE: Which areas are objectionable
- and why?
- MR. BANINO: It's all spelled out in the
- objections.
- MR. STONE: I haven't read the objections
- because there wasn't a motion for protective order.
- 21 So tell me now, we'll put it on the record so that
- the magistrate will see it.
- MR. BANINO: Thatcher, that -- I don't
- want to suggest anything, but you didn't read the
- objections?

Case 1:18-cv-00676-TSE-IDD**AB Count Reporting &**il **Video** 26/19 Page 4 of 7 PageID# 655

- MR. STONE: They came while I was in the
- ² air. And Elaine was told that, and there was no
- ³ follow-up from Elaine.
- 4 MR. BANINO: Okay.
- MR. STONE: And you were told that with a
- 6 phone message.
- 7 MR. BANINO: My suggestion is that we mark
- 8 it as an exhibit, then.
- 9 MR. STONE: No, I don't agree to mark it
- as an exhibit. If you want to mark it -- if you
- want to mark it as an exhibit during cross, you may.
- MR. BANINO: Okay.
- MR. STONE: All right?
- MR. BANINO: Okay.
- MR. STONE: I think they're completely
- inoperative and I'm not going to give them any
- credence by marking them --
- MR. BANINO: Okay. And my --
- MR. STONE: If you want to --
- 20 (Interruption by the court reporter.)
- MR. STONE: If you would like to call the
- 22 magistrate right now and get instructions, feel
- free, but I'm going to go forward on these nine
- topics.
- MR. BANINO: Okay.

Case 1:18-cv-00676-TSE-IDD**AB Count Reporting &**il **Video** 26/19 Page 5 of 7 PageID# 656

- MR. WOODROW: Thatcher, Thatcher.
- MR. STONE: Yeah.
- MR. WOODROW: I've just now sent Bart and
- 4 Elaine and you a number of cases and clear precedent
- 5 that they have no basis for objecting, so if you
- 6 want -- if you wish to review those and --
- 7 MR. STONE: All right. Let's take a 15-
- 8 minute --
- 9 MR. BANINO: Well, I think it's too late
- ¹⁰ to brief this.
- MR. STONE: No, it isn't, your objections
- 12 came yesterday.
- MR. BANINO: I know. If -- if we want to
- 14 go to the magistrate judge or brief this -- I mean,
- look, I don't practice in the Eastern District of
- Virginia. Elaine can speak to this much better than
- 17 I can. But I think it makes much more sense to just
- continue with the deposition. There is a huge area
- of inquiry that you can ask questions, based upon
- what we have laid out in the objections.
- MR. STONE: Okay. We are not going to pay
- attention to your objections, Bart and Elaine.
- MR. BANINO: Okay. Then we're going to
- object.
- MR. STONE: And you can save that for

Case 1:18-cv-00676-TSE-IDD**AB Countification & Wilder** 26/19 Page 6 of 7 PageID# 657

- after the deposition is taken, like any other
- ² objection.
- Do you agree that this deposition will be
- 4 taken without objections other than speaking
- ⁵ objections --
- 6 MR. BANINO: No.
- 7 MR. STONE: -- and they'll be reserved
- 8 until the deposition is complete when you make in
- 9 limine or other motions?
- MR. BANINO: No.
- MR. STONE: All right. Then we need to
- 12 call the magistrate. You need to call the
- magistrate now and arrange a call and --
- MR. BANINO: Go ahead.
- MR. STONE: No, you, because you want
- to do the standard rules.
- MR. BANINO: I can't do it.
- MS. BREDEHOFT: It's not incumbent upon
- 19 us. This is Thatcher.
- MR. STONE: No.
- MS. BREDEHOFT: First of all, let me just
- interject for a moment because I was interrupted
- earlier.
- I read the Teamsters/Frontier case. I
- pulled it up, it does not say anything close to that

- it has to be by protective order, it does not say
- that you cannot file objections first, and, in fact,
- in that instance they didn't file objections first,
- 4 we did file the objections, and it doesn't say you
- 5 have to have a protective order. It says it's
- 6 preferred to resolve them in advance, but it's not
- ⁷ required.
- In addition, I have looked at the cases
- ⁹ that were cited by Will. Absolutely none of them
- 10 are, indeed, from District of Virginia.
- The practice and procedure in the Eastern
- District of Virginia is to file objections to the
- 13 topic areas. If they cannot be resolved, then we
- 14 proceed to the magistrate.
- But Bart is exactly right. We have
- produced our witness, we have produced the witness
- subject to the objections. The -- even with the
- objections there is a very large topic area that can
- be covered and we should proceed with those today.
- If we still have disputes and you still
- feel that there is something that a corporate
- designee properly should have been available to
- answer and was unable to, then and only then do we
- 24 proceed with the magistrate judge.
- So we are here, we are prepared to have